21

22

23

24

25

26

RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11479 NISHA BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Assistant Federal Public Defender 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577/Phone (702) 388-6261/Fax Nisha_Brooks-Whittington@fd.org

Attorney for Marquis Lamar Franklin

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Plaintiff, v. MARQUIS LAMAR FRANKLIN, Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cr-333-APG-PAL

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MOTION DEADLINES

(First Request)

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Steven W. Myhre, Acting United States Attorney, and Phillip N. Smith, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and Nisha Brooks-Whittington, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Marquis Lamar Franklin, that the parties herein shall have to and including February 5, 2018, by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any and all pretrial motions and notices of defense.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including February 19, 2018, to file any and all responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including February 26, 2018, to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Undersigned counsel was in trial from December 19, 2017 to December 21, 2017 in *United States v. Hessiani*, case no. 2:16-cr-00320-LRH-VCF, and then out of the office from December 22, 2017 until January 2, 2018.
- 2. Counsel needs additional time to discuss the case with her client and determine whether any pretrial motions will be filed.
 - 3. The defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.
 - 4. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excusable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

This is the first stipulation to continue filed herein.

DATED this 4th day of January, 2018.

RENE L. VALLADARES

Federal Public Defender	Acting United States Attorney
/s/ Nisha Brooks-Whittington By	/s/ Phillip N. Smith, Jr. By
NISHA BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Assistant Federal Public Defender	PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR. Assistant United States Attorney

STEVEN W. MYHRE

26

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:17-cr-333-APG-PAL

Plaintiff,

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

v.

MARQUIS LAMAR FRANKLIN,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Undersigned counsel was in trial from December 19, 2017 to December 21, 2017 in United States v. Hessiani, case no. 2:16-cr-00320-LRH-VCF, and then out of the office from December 22, 2017 until January 2, 2018.
- 2. Counsel needs additional time to discuss the case with her client and determine whether any pretrial motions will be filed.
 - 3. The defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.
 - 4. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excusable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
,	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excusable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties herein shall have to and including February 5, 2018 to file any and all pretrial motions and notice of defense.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including February 19, 2018 to file any all responses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including February 26, 2018 to file any and all replies.

DATED this 4 day of January, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE